Meeting called to order @ 12:00PM by Chair Jaseon Outlaw, PhD

**HOUSEKEEPING**

Roll Call/Introduction of Guests

**Mental Health Board Members:**
- **Present:** Brian Bloom, Alane Friedrich, Luvenia Jones, Dorothy King, Jaseon Outlaw, and Diane Wydler
- **Absent:** Carmen Balingit, Lorene Kiger, Sheldon Koiles, Abu Rahim and Robert Villanueva

**BHCS:** Sarah Linder, Karyn Tribble, PsyD, LCSW and James Wagner, LMFT/LPCC

**Public:** Aisa Villarosa (Young Minds Advocacy), S. Mustafa, S. Smith (CAL-PEP), Hazel King (MHAAC), Ginny DeMartini (BOS, District 2) and Alison Monroe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approval of MHB March 2016 minutes</td>
<td>The MHB March 2016 was not approved due to lack of quorum.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Announcement**
- MHB Annual Awards Dinner will take place on Thursday, June 16th from 6pm-8pm at San Leandro Senior Center. MHB members were encouraged to make this event a priority.
- African American Making Connections 3.0 Free Event on Saturday, from 8:30am-3:30pm on April 23rd at Laney College in Oakland. It is organized by Sup. Keith Carson Office District 5. The event will have special focus on the continent of Africa, it’s an opportunity to build strength and relationships and it will feature a presentation by a former member of Black Panther for their 50th Anniversary.
- Asian Pacific Islander Event happening this weekend
- A film production for Jackie Robinson (baseball player) is showing tonight and tomorrow night on PBS, channel 9.

**Director’s Report**
Dr. Tribble talked about the following on behalf of Mr. Jimenez:
- Mr. Jimenez is currently on leave and will be returning on May 23rd.
- BHCS updated the BOS Health Committee on MHSA Plan Update and Drug Medi-Cal Waiver Plans.
- BHCS provided update to the Health Committee on the implementation of MHB’s recommendation to the Dept. to the past 5 years. The Dept. has to come back to provide answer to the questions and feedback from the Health Committee.
- Update on Crisis Planning, the Dept. now has the Crisis Report provided by RDA Org.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Director’s Report (Cont.)</td>
<td>• The Dept. is meeting with John George leadership to talk about what their joint opportunities are for rebalancing the system or improving the system and looking at some of the reoccurring challenges in PES.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr. Outlaw thanked the BHCS Exec. Team for their presence at the Board’s Special Meeting and providing response to the MHB Annual Report for the last 5 years and asked if the board will be able to get a copy of the PowerPoint presentation that was presented at the special meeting.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr. Tribble replied that the final version of the presentation will be sent to the board.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>Mr. Wagner did a presentation titled “Engaging People with Complex Needs in Alameda County that provided info on AOT, CC and IHOT” and clarified the following topics:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted Outpatient treatment (AOT), Community Conservatorship (CC) and IHOT planning updates by James Wagner</td>
<td>• On Nov. 17th 2015, the Board of Supervisors (BOS) approved AOT pilot, CC Pilot as well as the AB1421-20 recommendations that included IHOT. These programs are set to be implemented by July 1st, 2016.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Challenges</td>
<td>• Criteria for IHOT:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Three New Programs to reach the 669 high cost group</td>
<td>• Who can refer to IHOT?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• What is AOT?</td>
<td>• Benefits of IHOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Who Qualifies for AOT?</td>
<td>• What is a CC?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Who can refer to AOT?</td>
<td>• Criteria for CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Benefits of AOT?</td>
<td>• Referral process for CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• What is an IHOT?</td>
<td>• Benefit of CC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Detailed presentation handout is attached.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q and A and comments:</td>
<td>• Is there a plan over a period of time whether the pilot program will expand the number of slots to cover more individuals?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Answer: The BOS directed the BHCS specifically to have only 5 slots for AOT, 12 slots for Community Conservatorship pilot and 80 slots for IHOT. At any given time they may max 5 or max 12, but anticipating to see more. The Dept. is directed to provide yearly report then it is the BOS’ discretion to continue or to expand or to discontinue the program altogether with the exception of IHOT.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• How does the Dept. make the decision to pick people for the pilot?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Answer: The Dept. receives input from the stakeholders as well as from their internal Office of Consumers and Family as well as from services managers. They will abide by what the WIC says on who is eligible. The Dept. will try to give as much info to the public.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AOT, CC and IHOT planning updates by James Wagner (Cont.)

- If people are conserved, will they continue to be conserved after they are out of the program and what type of housing do they get?

  Answer: It has to go to the court because everyone goes through the court process the same process with the LPS conservatorship. The housing will be determined based on what the participant needs. Currently, the Dept. is negotiating what the housing is going to look like and they are still talking to the Public Guardian Office. The expectation for people who get referred into this program is there will be people who have more secure housing and there will be people who have less secure housing.

  It was stated that before the Community Conservatorship is mentioned to the participants as an option, there is a referral form that BHCS, Public Guardian, Public Defender and County Counsel have to sign off for each participant that gets referred to the program and they have to be in agreement that this program would be a good option for them.

- It was expressed that it’s a very few slots available, it’s not clear what success or failure would be, and it’s not clear how people will be selected.

- The MHB would like to have more info about the other programs that the BOS voted on that will be implemented in July 2016.

- It would be good if there is more housing/beds could be built in Fairmont Hospital campus.

Discussion Items

A. Bylaws Revision

It was stated that Bylaws revision should be completed soon and the board will be able to review prior to voting. A special meeting might be schedule to vote on the bylaws.

B. Response to BOS re: last five years of MHB Annual Report Recommendations to BHCS

The PowerPoint presentation provided at the Special Meeting will be distributed to the MHB when it’s finalized.

Chair Report

Dr. Outlaw talked about the following:

- Would like the board to think about recruitment efforts for new members. Current openings: District 1-2 slots; District 2-1 slot; District 5-1 slot.
  - It was asked if BOS will be willing to appoint somebody who are not from their district-this needs to be clarified with the BOS.
  - It was mentioned that District 2 would like to appoint people from their own District and preferably consumers.
- Encouraged Committee Chairs to start thinking about the upcoming annual report.

Committee Report:

Criminal Justice Committee (CJC)

Mr. Bloom provided an update concerning the jail expansion. The CJC is still trying to find answers about the expansion in the jail. He would like to make a proposal that the MHB agrees that each of the board members talks to their BOS who appointed them to get answers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CJC (Cont.)</td>
<td>Mr. Bloom was asked by the chair to draft a brief email to state what questions to ask the BOS concerning jail expansion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Children’s Advisory Committee** | Ms. Wydler talked about the following:  
- She attended the Children’s System of Care’s Behavioral Health Collaborative meeting.  
- The committee talked about client satisfaction survey.  
- They talked about inviting specific people from children agencies to come to CAC to give basic info about their program and how people get into their program.  
- They would like to have a presentation on Early Prevention in schools.  
- The committee is trying to find info that they don’t know.  
- CAC is hoping to get 8-10 permanent committee members and they have a couple of names who want to join the committee.  
- They talked about housing concerns for mentally ill and difficulty of hiring people because they can’t afford housing in the bay area.  

An advocate for children’s mental health addressed issue re: how to transfer their policy work to children who are getting services that they are advocating for. They started hosting focus groups for young people who receive Katie A services, an individualized wrap around services. She shared fact sheet on youth services. | |
| **Stakeholder Committee** | Ms. Friedrich talked about the following:  
- Stakeholder’s Committee Report was covered under the Presentation on IHOT, AOT and CC.  
- QIC had presentations on:  
  - Grievance and appeals and HIPPA-presented by a staff from MHAAC  
  - Medical organization for Alcohol and Drug by the SUD Director  
  - Spirituality Policy and Procedure by the Ethnic Services Manager | |
| **Public Comment** | An individual provided info on Youth and Mind Program that is located in Alameda County. She related that their mission is to improve the lives of young people impacted by mental health and serves youth ages 12-28 through education, advocacy and collaboration. She would like to have a presentation from Youth and Mind to the MHB.  

A public member would like to know if Villa Fairmont agrees to release their policies for admission, discharge and client care.  

It was stated that whether or not those specific documents are available to the public it needs to be checked with the county counsel when formal request has been made. | |

*Minutes submitted by Agnes Catolos*